DOCKET PILE CYPY ANY

UCT 1 6 2004

# FCC - MAIL ROOM

## UNITED STATES FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSI

In Re Applications of:

MB DOCKET No.: 04-191

SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

File No.: EB-04-IH-0270

STATION KALW (FM)

For Renewal of License

INTERVIEW OF NICOLE SAWAYA

Volume:

Pages:

352 through 425

Place:

San Francisco, CA

Date:

September 28, 2004

### HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters 1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005-4018 (202) 628-4888 hrc@concentric.net

ORIGINA

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

OCT 16 2004 352

#### Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISTROC - MAILROOM Washington, D.C. 20554

In Re Applications of: MB DOCKET No.: 04-191 SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED File No.: EB-04-IH-0270 SCHOOL DISTRICT STATION KALW (FM) For Renewal of License

> Suite 200 Renne, Sloan, Holtzman & Sakai 188 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA

Tuesday, September 28, 2004

The parties met, pursuant to the notice of the Judge, at 3:45 p.m.

#### APPEARANCES:

#### On behalf of the FCC:

DANA LEAVITT, ESQ. Investigations and Hearing Division 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 4-A325 Washington, DC 20554 202-418-1317

JAMES W. SHOOK, ESQ. Enforcement Division 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554 202-418-1420

#### APPEARANCES:

#### On behalf of SFUSD:

MARISSA G. REPP, ESQ. Hogan & Hartson, LLP 555 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004 202-637-5600

LOUISE H. RENNE, ESQ. Renne Sloan Holtzman & Sakai, LLP 188 The Embarcadero, Suite 200 San Francisco, CA 94105 415-677-1234

#### $\underline{I} \underline{N} \underline{D} \underline{E} \underline{X}$

WITNESSES:

PAGE

NICOLE SAWAY

355

Testimony Began: 3:45 p.m. Testimony Ended: 5:38 p.m.

Τ		PROCEEDINGS					
2		MR. SHOOK: Would you swear in the witness.					
3		COURT REPORTER: Please raise your right hand.					
4	Whereupon,						
5		NICOLE SAWAYA					
6	was called as a witness herein and, having been duly sworn,						
7	was examined and testified as follows:						
8		E X A M I N A T I O N					
9		BY MR. SHOOK:					
10	Q	Could you state your name please?					
11	А	Nicole Sawaya.					
12	Q	And I know you've already spelled it for the Court					
13	Reporter,	so you don't have to do it again.					
14	A	Okay.					
15	Q	And what is your current address?					
16	A	499 Alabama Street, Suite 252, San Francisco					
17	94110.						
18	Q	How long have you resided at that address?					
19	A	On and off since 1970.					
20	Q	What is your current occupation?					
21	A	General Manager KALW.					
22	Q	How long have you been the General Manager?					
23	A	Since March 1, 2001.					
24	Q	Prior to that time, let's go back ten years, if					
25	you could	give a brief description of your employment during					
		Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888					

- that period, from March 2001 back to 1991?
- 2 A In 1991 I was still a journalist, I was an
- 3 independent reporter in Public Radio. I worked out of the
- 4 other Public Radio Station here in the city, KQED FM. I was
- 5 also doing television work for them as well, on camera work.
- 6 And I was a stringer for National Public Radio, NPR, and
- 7 Monitor Radio at CAL NET, which was a California news
- 8 service, defunct now. And I was also in the process of
- 9 getting my degree at college.
- 10 Q Okay. So, now did that situation basically go on
- 11 from 1991 to 2001?
- 12 A No. In 1993 I graduated, in January of 1993, and
- in April of 1993 I landed a job up in Filo, California, in
- 14 Mendocino County, at a radio station, Public Radio Station
- 15 KZYX, and I landed a part time job as Program Director. I
- then morphed into Station Manager towards the end, and I
- 17 left KZYX December of 1995, and I had been recruited by
- 18 National Public Radio to go out and work there, and decided
- 19 to leave California. And I started at NPR, I believe it was
- 20 January 17, 1996.
- 21 O So, that brought you to the Washington D.C. area?
- 22 A Exactly right.
- 23 Q And you stayed there for how long?
- 24 A Almost two years to the day. I left, my last day
- 25 was like December 20, 1997.

- 1 Q And you went from there to where?
- 2 A I had been recruited for several months, offered a
- 3 job at KPFA, which is the flagship station in Berkeley
- 4 California, as General Manager. And I took the reins, I
- 5 think it was like the second week in January of 1998.
- 6 Q And you stayed there until when?
- 7 A Until March 30, 1999 when my contract was not
- 8 renewed and I was given two hours to get my things out.
- 9 Q Welcome to the wonderful world of corporations,
- 10 even non-profit ones.
- 11 A You're probably familiar with Pacifica.
- 12 Q My son loves it. I have a 23 year old son who
- 13 loves it.
- 14 A WPFW.
- 15 Q Whatever he can listen to. So, what happened
- 16 after that?
- 17 A After that I weathered the storm that ensued,
- 18 trying to dodge the spotlight because I felt it wasn't about
- 19 me. And I then worked at an organization called Pacific
- 20 News Service, based here in San Francisco. And the have
- another project, they have two projects under them, one is
- 22 YO, Youth Outlook, and I was one of the editors for Your
- Outlook, which is a magazine. And then they were also in
- 24 the middle stages of forming an organization called New
- California Media, which is a consortium of about 400 to 800

- 1 ethnic language news organizations in California. So, I was
- 2 brought on board as kind of a media consultant to help
- 3 strategize unrolling a broader campaign to incorporate
- 4 Southern California and the ethnic press in Central Valley.
- 5 Q And did that bring us up to March of 2001?
- 6 A It certainly does.
- 7 Q Okay. Now, you had mentioned that there was a
- 8 period, and I think from a timing standpoint it would have
- 9 been 1994-1995, in that range, I believe you had mentioned
- 10 that you had become a Station Manager or General Manager at
- one of the stations?
- 12 A At KZYX, yes, in Mendocino.
- 13 Q And for what period of time were you the Station
- 14 Manager or General Manager?
- 15 A The last six months of my tenure there.
- 16 O That was a Public Radio Station, correct?
- 17 A Exactly right, yeah.
- 18 O Now, at the time that you were the General Manager
- 19 or Station Manager, I'm assuming from that title that you
- 20 were the person in charge of running the operations of the
- 21 radio station?
- 22 A Pretty much. We had gone from, when I became
- 23 Program Director, the General Manager was kind of run out of
- town, they were eating General Managers and spitting them
- out one right after another. So, I proposed to the board,

- 1 it's a community licensee so it had a very hands on
- 2 governance structure, very active community board, and I
- 3 proposed to them why not do a flat top management, and that
- 4 way the General Manager wouldn't be so targeted and instead
- 5 all the people with all their beefs could go to three people
- 6 as opposed to just one. And I felt that that would diffuse
- 7 the situation politically, which it did. And then
- 8 eventually the flat top didn't quite work out, the finance
- 9 person really didn't want to be there, the pay was too low,
- 10 so they just said, look, just be Station Manager, would you.
- 11 And I go, okay. But, at that point things were pretty
- 12 stabilized so I didn't feel so targeted.
- 13 Q In the course of being the General Manager of that
- radio station, did you have any responsibility for preparing
- documents that would go into the H Public File?
- 16 A The licensee took care of Ownership Reports, the
- 17 engineer took care of any of the contour maps that might
- have changed. We were also in the process of bringing on a
- 19 repeater station, KZYZ. I had gotten a PTFP grant for that.
- 20 And what I was mostly responsible for were the Issues
- 21 Programs List. So, it was an NPR member station, we would
- 22 pull the NPR stuff. Well, at that time NPR's website was
- just being birthed, so there were other ways they got that
- 24 to us, they faxed it out or they sent it in a packet. And
- we had a lot of local programming, a lot of it

- 1 controversial. So, I tried to really focus on what was the
- 2 most controversial at that time, which was around the
- 3 environment. We had Redwood Summer going on, there was a
- 4 real tussle between loggers and people that wanted to save
- 5 the trees. So, that's really what I focused on with regards
- 6 to keeping track of all our public affairs programs.
- 7 Q In that position, did you physically prepare then
- 8 the Issues Programs List that would have covered the period
- 9 when you were the General Manager?
- 10 A I brought in from producers their run sheets and
- from that I would cull together who, what, how, when, where,
- topic, well, what is pretty much topic so, we didn't need
- 13 the why, we knew why. Yes.
- 14 Q And then you would --
- 15 A And I put that in the file drawer where the Public
- 16 File lived. The board took care of the rest of the Public
- 17 File.
- 18 Q Right. But, in terms of the Issues Programs List
- 19 that was a document that you actually prepared on behalf of
- 20 the station?
- 21 A Once again, pulling together the producer's stuff,
- 22 yes. I didn't put it into one single document, I pulled it
- 23 together, these are our programs --
- 24 Q Okay. If one could gleam the information that --
- 25 A Exactly --

- 1 Q -- the station required from looking at several
- 2 documents but they were related and all in the same place?
- 3 A Exactly.
- 4 Q Now, when you became -- oh, let me ask another
- 5 related question to something you had mentioned. You had
- 6 said that, I think it was somewhere in the early nineties
- 7 you graduated from college?
- 8 A Uh-hum.
- 9 Q What was your degree?
- 10 A Bachelor of Arts, Communication Arts at San
- 11 Francisco State University, Radio and Television.
- 12 Q Okay. Now, when you became -- could you tell us
- how it was that you became General Manager of KALW?
- 14 A At the time I was working for Pacific News Service
- and the KPFA brew ha ha was starting to die down, my name
- wasn't in the paper so much. And I actually received a call
- from Bill Helgeson, who I had met years ago when I worked
- for NPR, because I made a station visit to KALW. And Bill
- 19 said, I've called around to a couple of people, they all
- recommend you, we have this job opening, would you consider
- 21 applying. And I said, let's meet for coffee and talk about
- 22 it, I'm a little reluctant, having just got out of the KPFA
- thing. So, that was it. We met for coffee, Bill told me
- about where I would find the job application, it was online
- at the time for SFUSD, they had posted it. And I said

- 1 what's, you know, what's going on at the station, is it in
- an uproar, what's going on. Oh no, no, everything is
- 3 fine, and we really just need a really good Station Manager.
- 4 So, I downloaded the application, it was a fairly rigorous
- 5 application procedure what the District calls for, and I had
- 6 to get all of the, not affidavits, they have a word for it
- 7 where they want your former employer on their letterhead,
- 8 signed, stating exactly where you had worked, the whole
- 9 deal. So, I had to go and get those from way back. Some of
- 10 the management had changed. NPR was reorganizing yet again.
- But, I was able to get all of them for the past, I think
- they required your last five jobs or whatever it was. And
- submitted all that with letters of recommendation and just
- my awards and things like that, that I thought would round
- 15 out the application.
- 16 O From the time Mr. Helgeson spoke with you about
- 17 the job possibility, until you were notified that you were
- hired, approximately how much time elapsed?
- 19 A Probably about half a year.
- 20 O And in the course of that, did you speak with
- 21 anyone at KALW or SFUSD about the job, was there like an
- 22 interview process that you had to go through?
- 23 A Yes. The first person that I spoke with, that
- 24 called me in, was a man named Glenston Thompson and I went
- and had a conversation with him. And he said everything

- looks good on paper, you look like you'd be a great
- 2 candidate. The only thing I remember that he said, that
- 3 stuck in my mind, he said this is a rebel town, we need a
- 4 good radio station, and I was thinking that's Berkeley.
- 5 O Not San Francisco?
- 6 A I didn't say anything. And then I didn't hear
- 7 anything and I called Bill and I said, you know, what's up,
- 8 what's going on with the process? And he said, oh, things
- 9 are a little -- and another reorg at the district, so hang
- in there. And I said, well, I'm certainly not giving notice
- 11 to my job. My son had just moved back in with me to go to
- 12 high school. And so I really, you know, needed work of
- 13 course and I wanted the stability for him. So, I said,
- well, I'm not giving notice. And then the next time I heard
- 15 from the District I interviewed with a committee and that
- 16 committee was made up of Bill Helgeson and a woman from the
- 17 station's auditor, Joe Bunker, a woman Lisa Duran who works
- with Joe Bunker & Company. And then there was a man there,
- 19 I don't know who he was, he's a professional television
- 20 broadcaster and I think he does radio work as well but in
- commercial, he was also there. And we had a brief interview
- 22 at the District headquarters with these three people, this
- 23 committee. And that was it and I left.
- Then I didn't hear again, and I called Bill again,
- 25 said what's up? And he said, oh, I believe that Dr.

- 1 Ackerman had just come on board, or perhaps just come on
- 2 board six months before, and Dr. Ackerman was still doing
- 3 due diligence, I'm sure, about finding out the lay of the
- 4 land and really looking at what she needed to support her
- 5 vision for the District. And so I believe that at that
- 6 point Dr. Ackerman was probably rearranging, I really don't
- 7 know. All I know is I didn't hear for a long time until I
- 8 got a call from a woman named Jackie Wright. And she said,
- 9 that was in December of 2000, and she said, hi, I'm Jackie
- 10 Wright, I'm the Executive Director of the Office of Public
- Engagement and Information for SFUSD, and I'd like to talk
- to you about your job application for the position of
- 13 General Manager.
- 14 So, I went in and I met with Jackie, and we had a
- 15 great interview, we really hit it off. And she said that the
- 16 Superintendent had put the station under the Office of
- 17 Public Engagement and Information. And that -- so Jackie
- 18 interviewed me. And then she said, but this is really not
- 19 for me to make the final decision about, it's going to be up
- to the Superintendent, so get out your date book and let's
- 21 find a time when you can come and meet with the
- 22 Superintendent. So, I did and we decided upon a time when
- both the Superintendent, because Ms. Wright had Dr.
- 24 Ackerman's calendar somewhat. And I came back and Jackie
- and I went in and I met with the Superintendent, with Dr.

- 1 Ackerman. We spent about 20 minutes, half hour, she asked
- 2 me about my vision of the station, a little bit about my
- 3 background, she had read through my paperwork. She talked a
- 4 little bit about her vision of the station. And we shook
- 5 hands and she said, I think you're our candidate. So, I was
- 6 pretty happy and that was it. And I began March 1st.
- 7 Q From the time of your interview with Dr. Ackerman,
- 8 approximately how much time passed before you actually
- 9 started on the job?
- 10 A I don't think very much. It was only probably
- 11 about three weeks, maximum.
- 12 Q Just long enough for you to give notice where you
- 13 were?
- 14 A Exactly.
- 15 Q All right. With respect to the first time that you
- 16 had a chance to speak with Jackie Wright, that was the
- interview itself, or I quess what, she may have called you
- on the phone and said I'd like to have an interview with
- 19 you, and so there would have been the first time with the
- 20 brief phone conversation and then the second time was when
- you actually had a chance to talk with her, would that be
- 22 right?
- 23 A Exactly.
- Q When you spoke with Jackie Wright, did she give
- 25 you any information to the effect that there was a license

- 1 renewal challenge that had been raised against the renewal
- 2 application that SFUSD had filed for KALW?
- 3 A No, she did not.
- 4 Q At the time that you spoke with Ms. Wright, did
- 5 you know that there was such a license renewal challenge?
- 6 A No, I did not.
- 7 Q Subsequently there was a follow-up conversation
- 8 with Ms. Wright to setup an interview with Dr. Ackerman?
- 9 A Actually, we did it at that meeting. She said, I
- 10 can't make the final decision here, Dr. Ackerman should make
- 11 the final decision, get out your date book, let's find a
- 12 time when you can come back and meet Dr. Ackerman.
- 13 Q Okay. So, at the second time when you had the
- interview with Jackie Wright, you were not aware that there
- 15 was a license renewal challenge and that didn't come up in
- 16 the conversation that you had with Jackie Wright?
- 17 A No, it did not.
- 18 Q Then there was a period, a relatively brief period
- 19 apparently, between the second conversation/interview with
- 20 Jackie Wright and then your interview with Dr. Ackerman,
- 21 several weeks perhaps?
- 22 A I really don't remember. It didn't seem like that
- 23 much of a gap.
- Q So, conceivably certainly less than two months?
- 25 A Absolutely.

- 1 Q Now, when you had a chance to talk with
- 2 Dr. Ackerman, in the meantime, between the Jackie Wright
- 3 interview and the Dr. Ackerman interview, did you become
- 4 aware that there was a license renewal challenge against
- 5 KALW's renewal application?
- 6 A No, I did not.
- 7 Q During the interview with Dr. Ackerman did it come
- 8 to your attention that there was a license renewal
- 9 challenge?
- 10 A No, it did not.
- 11 Q Following the interview with Dr. Ackerman and
- 12 before you actually started to work at the radio station,
- did it come to your attention that there was a license
- 14 renewal challenge?
- 15 A No, it did not.
- 16 Q Surprise, surprise.
- 17 A Bingo.
- 18 Q When you started to work at the radio station on
- 19 March 1, 2001?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q A happy date I hope. Did it come to your
- 22 attention at that time that there was a license renewal
- 23 challenge?
- 24 A It came to my attention probably about two or
- 25 three days after I had started work.

- 1 Q And what did you find out?
- A I was told by Bill, oh, by the way, there's a
- 3 license challenge against the station from 1997.
- 4 Q And in response to that, you said?
- 5 A Oh my God. You guys are kidding.
- 6 MS. REPP: Just a sort of spontaneous response.
- 7 BY MR. SHOOK:
- 8 Q Ms. Sawaya, I am showing you a letter from the
- 9 Federal Communications Commission that's addressed to Ernest
- 10 Sanchez?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And it concerns KALW Radio. And my question to
- you is, have you seen this letter before today?
- 14 A I might have, I cannot say for sure. My quess is,
- 15 and this is only a quess, that I have not or that I did not,
- but quite frankly, sir, I really don't remember.
- 17 O You're making me feel old.
- 18 A I'm just trying to be respectful.
- 19 O All right. If could please just read to yourself
- 20 what follows from the word 'Accordingly', and there are
- 21 numbers one through five that extend from page two to page
- three, if you could just read that information to yourself?
- The FCC has an amazing habit of sometimes calling questions
- 24 directives, I guess that's a little bit scarier than just a
  - 25 plain old question. Now, with respect to Directive No. 1,

- 1 were you aware that in March of 21001 that the FCC was
- 2 inquiring or had wanted the information in response to a
- 3 question or a directive like that?
- 4 A No. I really didn't start putting the pieces
- 5 together probably until about mid March.
- 6 Q Until mid March.
- 7 A When I started to read through the files.
- 8 Q Now, were you asked by anyone to respond to
- 9 Directive No. 1?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Do you know whether anyone at the radio station
- was asked to respond to Directive No. 1?
- 13 A I don't know.
- 14 Q Moving on to -- well, -- okay. Moving on to
- Director No. 2, were you asked to respond to Directive No.
- 16 2?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Do you know of anyone at the radio station who was
- 19 asked to respond to Directive No. 2?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Moving to Directive No. 3, were you asked to
- respond to Directive No. 3 by anyone?
- 23 A No.
- Q Do you know whether anyone at the radio station
- was asked to respond to Directive No. 3?

- 1 A I don't know.
- 2 Q Directive No. 4, were you asked to respond by
- 3 anyone to Directive No. 4?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Do you know whether anyone at the radio station
- 6 was asked to respond to Directive No. 4?
- 7 A I don't know.
- 8 Q Directive No. 5, were you asked to respond by
- 9 anyone to Directive No. 5?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Including part A, or subpart (a), whatever you
- 12 want to call that?
- 13 A No, not at the time of my arrival I was not.
- 14 Q And do you know whether anyone at the radio
- station was asked to respond to Directive No. 5, including
- 16 subpart (a)?
- 17 A I don't know.
- 18 Q Now, in front of you there happens to be a copy of
- 19 a letter dated April 5 and it was filed at the Federal
- 20 Communications Commission on April 6, 2001. And prior to
- 21 the time this letter was filed with the FCC, did you see
- 22 this letter?
- 23 A I saw it in draft form.
- 24 Q You saw it in draft form. Were you asked to
- provide any information or comments relative to the letter?

1	A I really can't remember other than that I had put						
2	a few things in motion. I had asked that the Public File be						
3	moved into my office, into a locked cabinet. I did, the						
4	quarter was just ending actually towards the end of March,						
5	so I wanted to make sure that all the public affairs						
6	programs, things were correct as far as what was going to						
7	put in there from here on out.						
8	Q At least for that quarter you would have some						
9	control over how that						
10	A Right, even though I came at the end of the						
11	quarter, I wanted to see what was the process, walk me						
12	through the process, what was your routine.						
13	Q And what was their routine?						
14	A The routine was to pull from, at that point the						
15	NPR website, the Issues Programs List from NPR, and to						
16	collect from the producers basically a who, what, how, when,						
17	where, why sheet for the public affairs programs, not all of						

Q And as a consequence of that, a document or a series of documents was generated?

them but those that really tackled substantive issues in the

22 A Yes.

community.

18

19

- 23 Q And who physically actually caused the documents 24 to be generated?
- 25 A I would say to Bill, Operations Manager, because

  Heritage Reporting Corporation
  (202) 628-4888

- the producers push electronically their copy as the program
- is done, I think that's better than waiting, oh, give us the
- last three months. So, what I had asked the producers to do
- 4 was just do it as you do it, just put us on your list as you
- 5 give a run sheet to all your different guests and what not,
- and the announcer operators, just make sure Bill gets one
- and he pushes that into a file, I believe, on his computer
- 8 and stores those up. And then I asked him to put those in a
- 9 folder and hand those to me ten days before the end, after
- 10 the end of the quarter.
- 11 O Which he did?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q So, sometime between April 1 and April 10,
- 14 Mr. Helgeson gave you a file folder that had in it whatever
- information was necessary, so far as you know, to meet the
- 16 requirements of the FCC for an Issues Programs List?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 O Did you personally review whatever it was that he
- 19 gave you?
- 20 A I was curious. I hadn't heard all the programs,
- 21 so I wanted to see what kind of topics they were tackling,
- 22 and I read through them.
- 23 Q And did whatever information you receive comport
- 24 with your understanding of what was required to be in that
- 25 Public File?

- 1 A With my understanding, yes. I may not have always
- the correct understanding but, from my understanding of
- 3 public broadcasting and the role of the public broadcaster
- 4 in the community, I believed that they fulfilled that
- 5 function.
- 6 Q Has the procedure for generating such lists
- 7 changed in anyway since April of 2001?
- 8 A Only that I've added more programs to be put into
- 9 the file. And also when the war happened, I wanted to make
- 10 sure that we listed what coverage that we gave when the war
- 11 happened, both the war in Afghanistan and the war in Iraq.
- 12 So, I wanted that to be pretty precise in case there were
- 13 questions asked.
- 14 Q Now, I want to focus your attention on some of the
- substantive information that appears in this letter, since
- 16 when we talked the indication was that you at least had had
- 17 the opportunity to review a draft?
- 18 A Of this particular, yes.
- 19 O Yes. And somewhere in this mess I'll find mine
- 20 instead of having to pull yours.
- 21 A Go ahead.
- 22 O Let me see if I can find it first.
- MS. REPP: I think you had donated --
- MR. SHOOK: I may have. Do you have one?
- MS. REPP: No.

- 1 MR. SHOOK: Okay. I'll tell you what, let's see
- 2 if we can do this so that both of us can look at it.
- 3 BY MR. SHOOK:
- 4 Q Moving to page three of the April 5 letter that
- 5 went to the Commission, there is the -- basically it's
- 6 supposed to replicate the directive that came from the
- 7 Commission, let's just see whether or not that was the case
- 8 here. So, also side by side I'm showing you the February
- 9 2001 letter that the Commission sent to SFUSD by way of Mr.
- 10 Sanchez. And do the -- it appears that the Directives
- 11 match?
- 12 A Absolutely, yes.
- Now, in terms of the response, did you have any
- 14 role, whatsoever, in providing substantive information that
- appears in the response, and please feel free to review the
- 16 entire response if you need to before answering that?
- 17 A When I found out about the license challenge, I
- 18 wanted to talk to the station's lawyer and find out what was
- 19 going on.
- MS. REPP: Excuse me, Nicole, if I could just
- 21 interject that when you discuss your conversations with
- 22 Ernie Sanchez, that you not get into the substance of the
- conversation, you can mention that you have had a
- conversation, because of the attorney/client privilege you
- don't have to go into substance.

1	THE	WITNESS:	Okay.	Thank,	Marissa.
---	-----	----------	-------	--------	----------

- MS. REPP: Okay.
- 3 THE WITNESS: I needed to be briefed and
- 4 Ms. Wright needed to be briefed, and as it turned out,
- 5 Dr. Ackerman needed to be briefed. And so we called Ernie
- and I was just confused as to why something from 1997 had
- 7 languished.
- 8 Ernie spoke to Jackie and I, and Jackie and I felt
- 9 that we needed to prod, get something happening with regard
- 10 to the license challenge. That it felt like it was just
- languishing there. We didn't know why but it was like,
- well, we have to put some movement behind this. So, we
- asked Ernie, Ernie, put some movement behind it. And he
- said, I'm going to draft a response, I'll draft something,
- 15 you can look at this. I must say I never connected it to
- 16 this. I was still trying to figure out how to use the
- 17 copier. And this is really a complex situation and it had
- 18 happened a long time ago. And I didn't want to -- it takes
- me awhile to decide about complexity, I didn't want to come
- to any quick judgments, especially given the fact that some
- 21 people were still at the station that were involved in this.
- 22 So, then Ernie came -- this is April, so I had all
- of March, by mid March I was starting to read through the
- original complaint by GGPR and the supplemental, and the
- license, actually the Public File looked in very good order